

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,355	02/28/2002	Michiaki S	Sakamoto	8018-1003	5587	
466	7590 06/04/2004	•		EXAMINER WANG, GEORGE Y		
	THOMPSON	000				
	23RD STREET 2ND FL N,  VA   22202	OOR	•	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		<b>.</b>		2871		
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\mathcal{W}$
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/084,355	SAKAMOTO ET	AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	George Y. Wang	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the c rrespondence ac	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, or if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered time S from the mailing date of this of IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
Status		<u>.</u>	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	23 April 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		s prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und			'
olocou il docordance with the practice and	en Ex parto Quayro, 1000 O.B.	1, 400 0.0. 210.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	•	r
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<del>.</del>		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		· ·	•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	the Examiner	<b>`1</b> .
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	_		FR 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		lication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	· • •		Stage
application from the International Bu	k		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ceived.	
v v		,	
		•	
Attachment(s)		• •	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	imary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		mal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)
Patent and Tradament Office			

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 7, 2004 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2871

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 3. Claims 1-4, 10-16, 18-19, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tagusa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,946,065, hereinafter, "Tagusa") in view of Seo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,445,435, hereinafter "Seo") and Applicant's Admission of Prior Art (AAPA).
- 1. As to claim 1, 15-16, and 22, Tagusa discloses a liquid crystal display (LCD) having a plurality of gate lines (fig. 1, ref. 22) that are parallel to each other, a plurality of data lines (fig. 1, ref. 23) that are parallel to each other and perpendicular to the gate lines, switching elements (fig. 1, ref. 24) positioned near the intersections of the gate and data lines, a plurality of pixel electrodes (fig. 1, ref. 21) over the gate and data lines with gaps (fig. 2, ref. 712) via an interlayer insulation film (fig. 2, ref. 38) between the pixel electrodes that at least partially overlap with a gate line where the source and drain electrodes overlap with the gate line to form the switching element being entirely outside the gap between adjacent pixel electrodes (fig. 1, ref. 24; col. 10, lines 31-50), and a plurality of control electrodes (fig. 1, ref. 25).

However, the reference fails to specifically disclose an LCD with an opposing active matrix substrate with a liquid crystal layer disposed between the matrix substrates and control electrodes each disposed in the gap between adjacent pixel electrodes and cover the gate line.

Art Unit: 2871

AAPA discloses an LCD with an opposing active matrix substrate (fig. 10, ref. 64) with a liquid crystal layer (fig. 10, ref. 65) disposed between the matrix substrates.

Seo discloses an LCD where each control electrode are disposed above the gat line between adjacent pixel regions (fig. 2a, 2b, ref. 125).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dispose an opposing active matrix substrate, and liquid crystal layer since one would not only recognize these elements as well known in the LCD art but also be motivated to improve the aperture ratio of the display, minimize disturbance in the orientation of the liquid crystal molecules, and simplify the fabrication process (Tagusa, col. 7, lines 16-21). Furthermore, the influence of the capacitance between the pixel electrodes and the lines appearing on the display, such as crosstalk, can be reduced to achieve a good display (col. 7, lines 21-24). Lastly, enhancement to brightness and wider viewing angle are also advantages (col. 7, lines 25-28).

It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have disposed each control electrode between adjacent pixel regions and to directly overlie the gate line since one would be motivated to effectively create a capacitor (col. 5, lines 1-9) that ultimately yields an LCD with a high aperture ratio (col. 2, lines 15-19).

2. Regarding claims 2-4, and 21, Tagusa discloses the LCD apparatus as recited above with a control electrode that overlaps with the gap in the width direction, in the region where the gate line and pixel electrode overlap (fig. 2, 13).

Art Unit: 2871

- 3. <u>As to claim 10</u>, Tagusa discloses the LCD device as recited above where the interlayer insulating film comprises an organic film (fig. 2, ref. 38; col. 10, ref. 1-4).
- 4. Regarding claims 11-12, Tagusa, Seo, and AAPA disclose the LCD device as recited above, however, the references fail to specifically disclose the LCD having a COT structure or a reflection type LCD.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a reflection type structure since one would motivated to eliminate the need for back light and ultimately reduce power consumption (col. 1, lines 19-25). In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have equally used because Applicant has failed to address any particular advantage of such a structure and because a COT structure is functionally equivalent to a reflection type LCD and serves the same purpose.

- 5. Regarding claims 13-14 and 18-19, Tagusa disclose the LCD device as recited above where the control and source electrode are coupled via an extension to the contact hole (fig. 1, ref. 26) to the pixel electrode.
- 6. Claims 5-9, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tagusa, Seo, and AAPA in view of Yao et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,682,211, from hereinafter, "Yao").

Tagusa discloses the LCD device as recited above, however, the references fail to specifically disclose a control electrode having the same potential voltage as that of the source electrode of the switching element. Furthermore, the references fail to specifically teach the control electrode on the same layer and integrally formed with the source electrode, having a multilayer structure comprising of metal.

Yao discloses an LCD device with a control electrode having the same potential voltage as that of the source electrode of the switching element (col. 3, lines 56-67). Furthermore, Yao teaches the control electrode on the same layer and integrally formed with the source electrode (fig. 4, ref. 25), having a multilayer structure (fig. 2, ref. 41) comprising of metal (fig. 2, ref. 66, 62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a control electrode having the same potential voltage as that of the source electrode of the switching element, the control electrode on the same layer and integrally formed with the source electrode, having a multilayer structure comprising of metal create an integral since one would be motivated to create a functioning LCD with optimized display quality and increased viewing angle (col. 2, lines 22-36).

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2871

Page 7

Applicant amended all the independent claims to include the limitation that the control electrode "directly overlies" the gate line. Examiner recognizes that this is not novel to one of ordinary skill in the art and finds that the Seo reference teaches a control electrode that directly overlies the gate line (see above Rejection). As such, Examiner makes rejections based on new grounds.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Y. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-2304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROYNET H. KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Art Unit: 2871

June 1, 2004

Page 8